UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	ICT OF NEW YORK
	X
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	

-v- No. 10 CR 905-25 LTS

RICHARD JIMENEZ-PEREZ,

Defendants.

SEALED ORDER

Defendant was sentenced on September 6, 2013. The documents filed in this case remain under seal to protect Defendant's safety.

The public has a "general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents." Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978) (footnotes omitted). The weight given to the presumption of public access is determined by "the role of the material at issue in the exercise of Article III judicial power and the resultant value of such information to those monitoring the federal courts." United States v. Amodeo, 71 F.3d 1044, 1049 (2d Cir.1995) ("Amodeo II"). Once determined, the weight of the presumption is balanced against competing interests, which "include but are not limited to 'the danger of impairing law enforcement or judicial efficiency' and 'the privacy interests of those resisting disclosure." Lugosch v. Pyramid Co. of Onondaga, 435 F.3d 110, 120 (2d Cir. 2006) (quoting Amodeo II, 71 F.3d at 1049).

In addition to the common law right of access, the public has a First Amendment right of access to judicial documents, a right that is "stronger" than the common law right. <u>U.S.</u> v. Erie Cnty., N.Y., 763 F.3d 235, 239 (2d Cir. 2014). Determining whether the First

Amendment right of access attaches requires considering "(a) whether the documents 'have

historically been open to the press and general public' (experience) and (b) whether 'public

access plays a significant positive role in the functioning of the particular process in question'

(logic)." Id. (quoting Lugosch, 435 F.3d at 120.) If the First Amendment right of access

attaches, documents "may be sealed only if specific, on the record findings are made

demonstrating that closure is essential to preserve higher values and is narrowly tailored to serve

that interest." Erie, 763 F.3d at 239 (internal modifications omitted).

Counsel for the Government and Defendant are directed to confer and advise the

Court whether the danger to Defendant has abated enough to permit the unsealing of any

documents and, if so, which documents. The parties are directed to file a status report with the

Court on December 1 and June 1 of every subsequent year advising the Court as to whether and

to what degree documents relating to this case should remain under seal in light of the

considerations discussed above. The Government must continue to file such status reports until

the case is no longer under seal or the Court directs otherwise.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: New York, New York

November 6, 2023

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

Chief United States District Judge

CC:

Brandon Thompson, Assistant United States Attorney (by email: brandon.thompson@usdoj.gov)

M. Suzette Rivera, Counsel for Defendant (by email: msrivera@msrlaw.net)